1.0 Purpose, Principles and Scope

1.1 Purpose

1. Students are expected to conduct themselves in a manner consistent with the standards of behaviour recorded in the Student Code of Conduct. Students are prohibited from engaging in conduct that amounts to misconduct as defined in the Student Code of Conduct.

2. These standards of behaviour and prohibition on misconduct are intended to promote and foster the highest standards of honesty and academic integrity, a safe environment for members of the UQ community and the good order and management of the University.

3. This policy and procedure govern how allegations of misconduct against students at the University are reported, investigated, heard and determined, and penalties are imposed where allegations are proven on the balance of probabilities. This policy and procedure permit the University to regulate its student membership, including by expelling students who engage in misconduct that is serious and/or repeated.

1.2 Principles

This policy and procedure should be interpreted and applied by reference to:
a. the purpose of the policy as articulated in subsection 1.1 and the purpose of each section where articulated at the beginning of the section;

b. the Principles for the Protection of Freedom of Speech and Academic Freedom, recorded in the UQ Governance and Management Framework;

c. relevant human rights, in compliance with the Human Rights Act 2019 (Qld); and

d. the University’s commitment to promoting and fostering a safe environment for all members of the UQ community, in compliance with the Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) made under the Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency Act 2011 (Cth).

1.3 Scope

1. This policy and procedure apply to conduct of a student which:

   a. occurred on land or property owned, leased or occupied by the University (or entities it controls) or a University-affiliated residential college;

   b. occurred using, or was facilitated by, the University’s ICT resources or other University equipment;

   c. occurred in relation to any academic or work experience or placement program which has a connection to the University;

   d. occurred when the person was representing the University in any capacity;

   e. relates to another member of the UQ community; or

   f. otherwise has the potential to affect their suitability to continue as a student of the University having regard to:

     i. whether they may be trusted to comply with the Student Code of Conduct; or

     ii. the wellbeing and safety of members of the UQ community.

2. This policy and procedure apply regardless of whether the conduct occurred:

   a. in the person’s capacity as a student or in circumstances associated with their status as a student;

   b. before the commencement of this version of the policy and procedure.

3. The policy and procedure apply regardless of whether an allegation notice was issued before the commencement of this version of the policy and procedure. Where an allegation notice was issued before the commencement of this version of the policy and procedure:

   a. where the allegation has not already been determined – the Associate Director may refer the matter to a Misconduct Body under the procedure; or

   b. where the allegation has already been determined – the student may appeal the decision under the procedure:

     i. if the student would have had an appeal available to the Senate Discipline Appeals Committee – to the University Misconduct Appeals Board; or

     ii. otherwise – to the University Misconduct Board.
4. For the avoidance of doubt, the Associate Director has a discretion not to proceed in relation to an allegation of misconduct in accordance with this policy and procedure.

1.4 Definitions

The dictionary in section 6.0 defines particular words used in this policy.

2.0 Misconduct

2.1 Prohibition on misconduct

1. Students must not engage in misconduct.

2. Misconduct is defined in the Student Code of Conduct and includes academic misconduct and general misconduct.

2.2 Procedures for dealing with misconduct

1. The Associate Director will have primary responsibility for administering the procedures for dealing with misconduct. Given the volume of allegations of misconduct, Integrity Officers are also empowered to make particular decisions and take steps under the policy and procedure. Where so empowered, Integrity Officers may consult with the Associate Director on the making of decisions and taking of steps under the policy and procedure.

2. The Associate Director or an Integrity Officer will decide how to deal with an allegation of misconduct in accordance with the procedure.

3. Where the Associate Director or an Integrity Officer decides to refer a matter to a misconduct proceeding, it will be heard and determined by a Misconduct Body in accordance with the procedure (and where relevant, will include the imposition of a penalty permitted by the policy).

4. A student may appeal a final decision made by a Misconduct Body in accordance with the procedure.

5. Where a student decides to appeal a decision of a Misconduct Body, it will be heard and determined by an Appeal Body in accordance with the procedure.

2.3 Conduct Register

The Associate Director must ensure the Conduct Register is established and maintained, to record details required by this policy and procedure in relation to allegations and findings of misconduct.

3.0 Decision making bodies in relation to misconduct

The purpose of this section is to constitute (or provide for the constitution of) bodies to make decisions under this policy.

3.1 Authorised persons
The Associate Director must ensure that the following details relating to authorised persons are maintained on the Conduct Register:

a. their name;

b. whether they have:
   i. completed the Student Misconduct Framework Training Module; or
   ii. been granted an exemption from the need to complete the module by the Academic Registrar;

c. the date they last completed the Student Misconduct Framework Training Module (if relevant);

d. the date they are due to complete the Student Misconduct Framework Training Module again (if relevant).

3.2 Constituting a Misconduct Committee

1. The Associate Director or an Integrity Officer may:
   a. constitute a Misconduct Committee as a Misconduct Body under this policy by entering the name of the committee on the Conduct Register;
   b. convene as many Misconduct Committees as are required, with staff members within a School, Faculty, across Faculties and centrally;
   c. manage the allocation of matters to Misconduct Committees; and
   d. in consultation with the relevant line manager, manage the allocation of staff to Misconduct Committees.

2. A Misconduct Committee can be constituted as:
   a. a standing committee, constituted for an indefinite time; or
   b. an ad hoc committee, constituted for a limited time.

3. A Misconduct Committee will comprise two authorised persons who are staff of the University, with one member to serve as the chairperson.

4. Both members of a Misconduct Committee are required to constitute a quorum.

5. Members of a Misconduct Committee will endeavour to make consensus decisions. In the event both members cannot agree on a particular decision, the chairperson holds a casting vote.

6. The Associate Director or an Integrity Officer may:
   a. appoint authorised persons to serve on any Misconduct Committee;
   b. appoint the chairperson of any Misconduct Committee;
   c. remove or replace a member of a Misconduct Committee at any time.

7. The powers in subsection (6) can be exercised even whilst a disciplinary proceeding is being heard by a Misconduct Committee.
8. The Associate Director must ensure that the following details relating to Misconduct Committees are maintained on the Conduct Register:

   a. for each committee:
      
      i. its name (for example, the "Engineering Misconduct Committee");
      
      ii. the date it was constituted;
      
      iii. whether it was a standing committee or an ad hoc committee;
      
      iv. its historical and current membership;
      
      v. the period during which each member served on the committee;
      
      vi. where relevant, the date it was disbanded;

   b. for each misconduct proceeding heard and determined by a committee:
      
      i. whether it was a standing committee or ad hoc committee;

      ii. the names of members of the committee who heard and determined the misconduct proceeding, including any replacement authorised persons.

3.3 Constituting the University Misconduct Board

1. The University Misconduct Board is established by this policy as a standing committee of the University, constituted for an indefinite period of time.

2. The University Misconduct Board will comprise:

   a. a chairperson, who is a senior member of academic staff;
   
   b. the member of academic board who has been elected as a member of Senate;
   
   c. five further members of staff;
   
   d. five students; and
   
   e. any ad hoc members of staff, who are appointed for a limited time in accordance with section 3.3(5)(e).

3. Three members of the University Misconduct Board, including the chairperson or acting chairperson appointed in accordance with section 3.3(5)(c), are required to constitute a quorum. A quorum for a misconduct proceeding cannot include any member that was involved in determining a misconduct proceeding from which the student is appealing.

4. Members of the University Misconduct Board will endeavour to make consensus decisions. In the event members cannot agree on a particular decision, decisions will be made by majority vote and the chairperson or acting chairperson holds a casting vote.

5. The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) may:

   a. appoint persons to serve on the University Misconduct Board for:
      
      i. in the case of a student – a 1 year period, with an option to serve for up to a 2 year period at the discretion of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic);
ii. in the case of a member of staff – a 2 year period, with an option to serve for up to a 3 year period at the discretion of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic);

b. appoint the chairperson of the University Misconduct Board;

c. in the event that the chairperson appointed under subparagraph (b) is unavailable (including, without limitation, as a consequence of the chairperson having to recuse themselves due to a conflict or perceived conflict of interest either before or during a hearing) appoint another member of the University Misconduct Board (including an ad hoc member appointed in accordance with subparagraph (e)) to act as the chairperson for a limited time or for a particular misconduct proceeding;

d. remove or replace a member of the University Misconduct Board at any time; and

e. appoint an additional ad hoc member to the University Misconduct Board for a particular misconduct proceeding, where considered necessary or appropriate to bring specialist skills, expertise and experience to bear in hearing the misconduct proceeding. The ad hoc member will enter into an employment contract with the University for the limited purpose of serving on the University Misconduct Board. The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) may determine that the ad hoc member will serve as chairperson of the Board for the hearing and determination of the misconduct proceeding.

6. The powers of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) in subsection (5) can be exercised even whilst a misconduct proceeding is being heard by the University Misconduct Board.

7. The Associate Director:

   a. will ensure that a quorum is achieved to hear and determine all misconduct proceedings;

   b. will use best endeavours to arrange for a student member to attend to hear and determine all misconduct proceedings (but a student member is not required in order for there to be a quorum); and

   c. if there are insufficient members available to achieve a quorum in any case (including, without limitation, as a consequence of members having to recuse themselves due to a conflict or perceived conflict of interest either before or during a hearing), will request the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Academic) to appoint a replacement member for the purpose of achieving a quorum to hear and determine the misconduct proceeding.

8. The Associate Director must ensure that the following details relating to the University Misconduct Board are maintained on the Conduct Register:

   a. its historical and current membership;

   b. the period during which each member served on the board;

   c. for each misconduct proceeding heard and determined by the board, the names of members of the board who heard and determined the misconduct proceeding, including any replacement and/or ad hoc members.

3.4 Constituting the University Misconduct Appeals Board

1. The University Misconduct Appeals Board is established by this policy as a standing committee of the University, constituted for an indefinite period of time.
2. The University Misconduct Appeals Board will comprise:

   a. a chairperson, who is a senior member of academic staff and not a member of a Misconduct Committee or the University Misconduct Board;

   b. the president of the academic board;

   c. five further members of staff;

   d. five students; and

   e. ad hoc members of staff, who are appointed for a limited time in accordance with section 3.4(5)(d) or 3.4(6)(a).

3. Four members of the University Misconduct Appeals Board, including the chairperson or acting chairperson appointed in accordance with section 3.4(5)(c), are required to constitute a quorum. A quorum for a misconduct proceeding cannot include any member that was involved in determining the misconduct proceeding from which the student is appealing.

4. Members of the University Misconduct Appeals Board will endeavour to make consensus decisions. In the event members cannot agree on a particular decision, decisions will be made by majority vote and the chairperson for the misconduct proceeding holds a casting vote.

5. The Vice-Chancellor may:

   a. appoint persons to serve on the University Misconduct Appeals Board for:

      i. in the case of a student – a 1 year period, with an option to serve for up to a 2 year period at the discretion of the Vice-Chancellor;

      ii. in the case of a member of staff – a 2 year period, with an option to serve for up to a 3 year period at the discretion of the Vice-Chancellor;

   b. appoint the chairperson of the University Misconduct Appeals Board;

   c. in the event that the chairperson appointed under subparagraph (b) is unavailable (including, without limitation, as a consequence of the chairperson having to recuse themselves due to a conflict or perceived conflict of interest either before or during a hearing) appoint another member of the University Misconduct Appeals Board (including an ad hoc member appointed in accordance with subparagraph (d)) to act as the chairperson for a limited time or for a particular misconduct proceeding;

   d. remove or replace a members of the University Misconduct Appeals Board at any time; and

   e. appoint an additional ad hoc member to the University Misconduct Appeals Board for a particular misconduct proceeding, where considered necessary or appropriate to bring specialist skills, expertise and experience to bear in hearing the misconduct proceeding. The ad hoc member will enter into an employment contract with the University. The Vice Chancellor may determine that the ad hoc member will serve as chairperson of the board for the hearing and determination of the misconduct proceeding.

6. The chairperson of the University Misconduct Appeals Board may:

   a. appoint additional ad hoc members of staff to the University Misconduct Appeals Board for a particular misconduct proceeding;
b. remove or replace an ad hoc member of staff appointed under this subsection at any time.

7. The powers of the Vice-Chancellor in subsection (5) and the powers of the chairperson of the University Misconduct Appeals Board in subsection (6) can be exercised even whilst a misconduct proceeding is being heard by the University Misconduct Appeals Board.

8. The Associate Director:

   a. will ensure that a quorum is achieved to hear and determine all misconduct proceedings;

   b. will use best endeavours to arrange for a student member to attend to hear and determine all misconduct proceedings (but a student member is not required in order for there to be a quorum); and

   c. if there are insufficient members available to achieve a quorum in any case (including, without limitation, as a consequence of members having to recuse themselves due to a conflict or perceived conflict of interest either before or during a hearing), will request the Vice-Chancellor or chairperson to appoint a replacement member for the purpose of achieving a quorum to hear and determine the misconduct proceeding.

9. The Associate Director must ensure that the following details relating to the University Misconduct Appeals Board are maintained on the Conduct Register:

   a. its historical and current membership;

   b. the period during which each member served on the board;

   c. for each misconduct proceeding heard and determined by the board, the names of members of the board who heard and determined the misconduct proceeding, including any replacement and/or ad hoc members.

4.0 Penalties that may be imposed for misconduct

The purpose of this section is to specify the penalties that can be imposed where there is a finding of misconduct by a Misconduct Body or an Appeal Body.

1. A Misconduct Committee may impose one or more of the following penalties:

   a. for general misconduct:

      i. a written warning or reprimand;

      ii. direct the student to undertake counselling;

      iii. direct the student to undertake remedial or educational activities;

      iv. direct the student to take action or behave in a specified way that it considers is necessary or appropriate;

      v. direct a student to pay compensation of not more than $1,000 to the University, in accordance with directions to be issued by the Associate Director;

      vi. a campus service order in accordance with section 5.1 of this policy;
vii. impose a probationary enrolment that is provisional on the student’s good behaviour;

viii. suspend the student from school, institute, library or information technology facilities or services for a specified period of time of 2 weeks or less;

ix. suspend the student from the University for a specified period of time of 2 weeks or less.

b. for academic misconduct:

i. any of the penalties referred to in subparagraph (a);

ii. direct the student be allocated a mark for the student’s assessment item, based on the portion of the assessment item that was unaffected by the academic misconduct;

iii. direct that the student be allowed to resubmit or amend an assessment item to achieve a mark no higher than the minimum “pass” mark for the item;

iv. direct the student to undertake replacement assessment to be awarded a grade no higher than a 4 for the course;

v. direct the student to exclude the affected work from an honours/postgraduate coursework dissertation/thesis;

vi. direct the student to rewrite an honours/postgraduate coursework dissertation/thesis within a specified timeframe;

vii. direct there be a reduction in the total marks or final grade obtained by the student in the course in which misconduct occurred;

viii. direct the student receive a nil mark for the assessment item (or part thereof) affected by the academic misconduct;

ix. direct the student receive a fail grade for the course in which the academic misconduct occurred;

x. direct the imposition of a limit on the grade the student may be awarded in the course, or piece of assessment in which misconduct occurred;

xi. annul a thesis examination report.

2. Subject to subsection (3), the University Misconduct Board may impose one or more of the following penalties:

   a. any of the penalties referred to in subsection (1)(a) (for general misconduct or academic misconduct) or subsection (1)(b) (for academic misconduct);

   b. refuse or cancel credit for any course.

   c. revocation of an award;

   d. suspension from the University (or any part of it) for a specified period of up to 5 years;

   e. expulsion from the University.

3. An Appeal Body may only impose a penalty that the Misconduct Body had power to impose at first instance.
5.0 Other matters

5.1 Campus Service Orders

1. A campus service order:

   a. requires the student to perform campus service of not more than 30 hours without remuneration at the places and times, in the way and subject to the conditions the Misconduct Body imposing the order decides;

   b. may include a direction that the student not be awarded a result in any assessment or receive or be granted credit for any course or program or receive any degree or other award of the University, until all service is completed to the satisfaction of the Misconduct Body;

   c. is not complied with until the Misconduct Body considers that the service required has been satisfactorily completed; and

   d. will not lead to an offer of employment to carry out similar services for the University in the future.

5.2 Withholding results

If a student has been given an allegation notice under the procedure, the Academic Registrar may withhold the student's academic results until the misconduct proceeding (including an appeal) is finalised or until any penalty has been served or discharged to the satisfaction of the Academic Registrar.

5.3 Direction or interim suspension issued by the Academic Registrar

1. This section applies if there is a report of misconduct, concerning a student, under the procedure.

2. The Academic Registrar may issue a written direction to the student, including (without limitation) to direct a student to leave the University's land (or a part of the University's land) (interim suspension), if the Academic Registrar considers it necessary to avert a substantial risk:

   a. to the safety of persons;

   b. of damage to property; or

   c. of serious disruption of a University activity.

3. Before imposing the interim suspension, the Academic Registrar will make a reasonable effort (having regard to the seriousness and urgency of the risks) to provide the student with an opportunity to explain why the suspension ought not to be imposed.

4. The Academic Registrar must, as soon as practicable, give written notice of the suspension to the student (suspension notice).

5. A suspension takes effect when the suspension notice is received by the student, or is reasonably expected to have been received by the student. Without limitation, the
suspension notice can reasonably be expected to have been received by the student 24 hours after the suspension notice has been sent to the student's University email address.

6. Subject to subsection (7), a suspension ends if 28 days after the date of the suspension notice (notice end date) no allegation notice has been given to the student.

7. The notice end date may be extended for up to a further 28 days by the Vice-Chancellor if a decision has not yet been made on whether to give the student an allegation notice.

8. If an allegation notice has been given to the student by the notice end date, the suspension will continue until the misconduct proceeding (including any appeal) is finalised or it is lifted by the Academic Registrar.

9. The power of the Academic Registrar to impose an interim suspension supports the good operation of the University having regard to an assessment of risk by the Academic Registrar. The decision to impose an interim suspension is not a determination or imputation that the allegations of misconduct have or will be proven.

10. The Academic Registrar must end the suspension if satisfied that the risk that necessitated the suspension has passed. The student may request that the Academic Registrar exercise their power at any time during the misconduct proceeding.

11. A decision of the Academic Registrar under this section is not subject to any appeal or review at the University.

5.4 Notices

1. A notice to a student under this policy is sufficient if it is in writing and is:

   a. given to the student via the student's University email address; or

   b. posted by mail to the student at the address which was most recently advised by the student as their mailing address.

5.5 Refund of fees for suspension or expulsion

1. No fees paid by a student relating to a period when the student's enrolment is cancelled under this policy are refundable or repayable to the student.

2. No fees paid by a student are refundable or repayable to the student when the student is suspended or expelled from the University under section 4.0 of this policy.

5.6 Undischarged penalties

1. If a penalty imposed on a student under this policy and procedure remains outstanding the Associate Director may issue a notice requiring the student to appear before the Misconduct Body or Appeal Body to review the original penalty or penalties imposed on the student and determine whether to impose a new and/or further penalty.

2. The notice must include:

   a. a description of the penalty or penalties that remain outstanding;

   b. the date, time and location of the hearing;

   c. a statement inviting the student to attend the hearing and advising that the student may be accompanied by a support person who is not a lawyer; and
d. a statement that the hearing may proceed in the student’s absence if the student does not attend the hearing.

3. The hearing must proceed in accordance with the procedures described in subsections 3.2(4) to 3.2(12) of the procedure.

4. After considering any evidence presented by the student, the Misconduct Body or Appeal Body must review the appropriate penalty or penalties and may, in their discretion, impose any penalty or penalties available to the Misconduct Body or Appeal Body.

5. As soon as practicable after the Misconduct Body or Appeal Body makes a decision, they must:
   a. give the student a written notice of the decision, including reasons for the decision; and
   b. give a copy of the written notice to the Associate Director.

6. Despite subsection (4), the University may, during the period in which any penalty imposed on a student remains outstanding:
   a. refuse to release to the student the result for any assessment item completed by the student;
   b. refuse to grant to the student credit for the completion of any course at the University; or
   c. refuse to confer on the student an award for which the student is otherwise eligible.

7. A student may apply to the Academic Registrar for the release of results, or the grant of credit or the conferral of an award, which will only be allowed in exceptional circumstances.

6.0 Dictionary

In this policy:

academic integrity has the meaning given in the Student Code of Conduct.

academic misconduct has the meaning given in the Student Code of Conduct.

allegation notice means the notice which contains the allegation(s) and which the Associate Director gives to a student to commence a misconduct proceeding.

Appeal Body means the University Misconduct Board or the University Misconduct Appeals Board, hearing and determining a misconduct proceeding on appeal.

Associate Director means the Associate Director, Student Conduct and Integrity, Academic Services Division.

For the avoidance of doubt, if the Associate Director deals with a particular allegation of misconduct in accordance with section 1.0 of the Procedure, they will not participate in a hearing of a misconduct proceeding in relation to that allegation as a member of a Misconduct Body or Appeal Body.

authorised person means any staff member of the University who confirms their willingness to satisfy the training requirements if requested by the Associate Director and includes the following positions:
a. Head of School or Deputy Head of School;
b. Executive Dean or Deputy Executive Dean;
c. Dean or Deputy Dean of the Graduate School;
d. Institute Director;
e. Associate Dean (Academic);
f. Chief Operating Officer;
g. Director, Deputy Director or Associate Director; and
h. Faculty Executive Manager or School Manager.

conductor means a positive act, or the failure or omission to act.

Conduct Register is a register which the Associate Director ensures is maintained recording:

a. details of allegations of misconduct about a student;
b. details of actions taken to resolve allegations under this policy and procedure;
c. findings made where an allegation of misconduct against a student is referred to a misconduct proceeding to be determined under this policy and procedure; and
d. details required by this policy and procedure in relation to:
   i. an authorised person;
   ii. a Misconduct Committee;
   iii. the University Misconduct Board; and
   iv. the University Misconduct Appeals Board.

expulsion means a student is expelled from the University, their enrolment is cancelled and they are prohibited from enrolling in any courses or programs at the University in the future except with written permission from the Vice-Chancellor granted in their absolute discretion (provided permission is not given within 5 years from the date the expulsion took effect).

general misconduct has the meaning given in the Student Code of Conduct.

Integrity Officer means an officially appointed academic staff member who promotes the values and practice of academic integrity to students and staff, conducts investigations, provides guidance to academic staff about the delivery of educational strategies associated with academic integrity, and provides guidance and support to decision-makers in relation to student misconduct. A person appointed to this role must be:

a. at school level – the chair of the School Teaching and Learning Committee, or their written nominee/s;
b. at faculty level – the Associate Dean (Academic), or their written nominee/s;
c. for the graduate school – the Deputy Dean of the Graduate School, or their written nominee/s;
d. for institutes – the Director of Post-Graduate Studies; and
e. for general misconduct matters associated with:

i. the Halls of Residence, Gatton Campus – the Manager, Residential Programs; and


For the avoidance of doubt, an Integrity Officer that deals with a particular allegation of misconduct in accordance with section 1.0 of the Procedure will not participate in a hearing of a misconduct proceeding in relation to that allegation as a member of a Misconduct Body or Appeal Body.

**lawyer** means a person who holds a degree or formal training in law (e.g. LLB or JD or equivalent) and/or a person admitted or qualified to be an Australian lawyer.

**misconduct** means either academic misconduct or general misconduct.

**Misconduct Body** means a Misconduct Committee or the University Misconduct Board, hearing and determining a misconduct proceeding at first instance.

**misconduct proceeding** means a misconduct process commenced by the Associate Director or Integrity Officer and carried out in accordance with the procedure.

**policy** means the Student Integrity and Misconduct Policy.

**procedure** means the Student Integrity and Misconduct Procedure.

**student** means a person enrolled as a student at the University or undertaking courses or programs at the University at the time of the alleged misconduct, regardless of whether they are a student at the time of the hearing of the misconduct proceeding.

**Student Misconduct Framework Module** means the training module approved from time to time by the Academic Registrar for staff or students of the University or external members who are eligible to be appointed to a Misconduct Body.

**support person** means a person accompanying a student at a hearing before a decision-maker.

**suspension from the University** means that a student’s enrolment in programs and courses is suspended, and the student is prohibited from entering the University’s land, sites or part of the land or sites or engaging in an activity as a student of the University for the duration of the period of the suspension.

**training requirements** means:

a. on request from the Associate Director, completing the Student Misconduct Framework Training Module within 6 months of receiving the request (or such further time as may be permitted by the Associate Director, which permission can be granted at any time); or

b. upon receiving such a request from the Associate Director, being granted an exemption from the need to complete the Student Misconduct Framework Training Module by the Academic Registrar.

**University** means The University of Queensland.

**UQ community** means any current UQ student, graduate, staff member, member of Senate (or other governance body of the University), contractor, volunteer, official visitor, holder of an honorary appointment, adjunct academic and support position holder, or supplier of academic placements or academic related services, or a representative group thereof.

**Custodians**
Academic Registrar Mr Mark Erickson